


CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC

WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK
1700 WEST PARK DRIVE
WESTBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS 01581
TELEPHONE: (508) 616-9660
FACSIMILE: (508) 616-9661
www.Chapin-IP-Law.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 28 2006

June 28, 2006

From:	SHAUN P. MONTANA, ESQ.	Our Reference No.:	09/703,909	
		Your Reference No.:	09/703,909	
Please deliver the following 4 Pages, including Cover Page to the following Recipient				
To:	Recipient:	Company Name:	Company Facsimile No.:	Company Telephone No.:
	Examiner Pham	USPTO	1-571-273-8300	
Comments / Special Instructions				
<p>As requested, please see the attached.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p> Pg No 54,320 Shaun P. Montana, Esq.</p>				
Facsimile Number is 508.616.9661. If there are problems during this transmission, please call 508.616.9660.				
THE INFORMATION IN THIS TRANSMITTAL IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE RECIPIENT(S) LISTED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NEITHER THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) NOR A PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DELIVERY OF THIS TRANSMITTAL TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S), YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY UNAUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS TRANSMITTAL IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMITTAL IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY AT 508.616.9660 AND RETURN THE TRANSMITTAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS, MARKED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE SENDING ATTORNEY. THANK YOU.				

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: OID06-30(9801)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Todd P. Guay, Gregory S. Smith, Ari W. Mozes and Gaylen D. Royal
Serial No.: 09/703,909
Title: Database Index Validation Mechanism
Filing Date: November 1, 2000
Examiner: Khanh B. Pham
Art Unit: 2166
Conf. No.: 3199

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 28 2006

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile number 1-571-273-8300 on:

Date: June 27, 2006

By: Penny A. Coelho
(Typed or printed name of person mailing
Document, whose signature appears below)

Signature: 

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to the Advisory Action mailed on June 13, 2006, Applicants hereby respectfully request reconsideration of the Amendment and Response filed by Applicants' Attorney on May 25, 2006, in response to the Final Office Action mailed on March 29, 2006.

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments in Applicants' Amendment and Response ("A&R") filed May 25, 2006, namely the "new" limitations added to independent claims 1, 27, 53, 54, and 110, "raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search." Applicants respectfully disagree, and submit that no new search is required. The amendment to independent claim 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. Application No.: 09/703,909

Attorney Docket No.: OID06-30(9801)

Page 2 of 3

was the addition, word for word, of the limitations formerly present in dependent claims 3 and 4; see A&R page 20 lines 19-20. Similar amendments were made for independent claims 27 (addition of former dependent claim 31), see A&R page 19 lines 11-12, 53 (addition of former dependent claims 59 and 60), 54 (addition of former dependent claims 85 and 86), and 110 (addition of former dependent claims 112 and 113), see A&R page 22 line 28 to page 23 line 4. Applicants fail to understand how limitations that the Examiner has already seen, searched on, and offered rejections on, would constitute proper grounds for a new search, and therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the Advisory Action has been issued on improper grounds.

Additionally, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the Remarks section of the Amendment and Response filed on May 25, 2006. In the Remarks, Applicants clearly state distinctions between the amended independent claims 1, 27, 53, 54, and 110 and the art cited by the Examiner in the Final Office Action of March 29, 2006. If the Examiner wishes to maintain the current rejection based on the art cited in the Final Office Action of March 29, 2006, then Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner clearly describe why the distinctions stated by Applicants in their Amendment and Response do not overcome the cited art. Applicants respectfully submit that should the Examiner wish to enter a new ground of rejection, that such new ground of rejection would properly be the subject of a new, non-final office action, requiring the finality of the current action to be withdrawn.

Should the enclosed papers or any fees be considered incomplete, Applicants respectfully request that the Patent Office contact the undersigned collect at the telephone number provided below.

U.S. Application No.: 09/703,909

Attorney Docket No.: OI06-30(9801)

Page 3 of 3

Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the Applicants' undersigned Attorney if any issues are deemed to remain prior to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Shaun P. Montana", is written over a horizontal line.

Shaun P. Montana, Esq.
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No.: 54,320
Chapin Intellectual Property Law, LLC
Westborough Office Park
1700 West Park Drive
Westborough, Massachusetts 01581
Telephone: (508) 616-9660
Facsimile: (508) 616-9661

Attorney Docket No.: OI06-30(9801)

Dated: June 27, 2006